

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/514,053	02/25/2000	Scott C. Harris	SCH/TRAVEL 7148 EXAMINER		
23844 75	11/24/2003				
SCOTT C HARRIS			MORGAN, ROBERT W		
P O BOX 9276	49				
SAN DIEGO, CA 92192			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3626		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		0	9/514,053	HARRIS, SCOTT C.				
		E	caminer	Art Unit				
			obert W. Morgan	3626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. 80) days, a reply with atutory period will ap v will, by statute, cau	In no event, however, may a replying the statutory minimum of thirty (3 pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH se the application to become ABAN	ov be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>04 Septe</u>	ember 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	Stion and/or en	schon requirement.					
9)□ 10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepte ection to the draw g the correction	ving(s) be held in abeyance is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o by the Exam	mer. Note the attached e	moe / toller of form 1 10 102.				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land	documents hat documents hat of the priority onal Bureau (Pon for a list of the for domestic pred in the first senguage provision domestic produced in the for domestic produced in the first senguage provision domestic prediction domestic predictio	ave been received. ave been received in Apple documents have been received in Apple CT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § entence of the specificational application has been tority under 35 U.S.C. § §	lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. In received.				
Attachmen			. 🗖					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/514,053 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 12 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040 to DeLorme et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,578,078 to Smith et al.

As per claim 1, DeLorme et al. teaches a Travel Reservation Information Planning

System or TRIPS where users (100, Fig. 1A) using a desktop computer (105, Fig. 1A) with at
least one computer communication connection or modem link (107, Fig. 1A) and one or more
private or public computer network such as the Internet including interactive communication
with one or more third-party providers or diverse travel information, reservation,
accommodation, transportation, ticketing and/or other travel-related goods/service (see: column
13, lines 48-58). The TRIPS software allows user to construct travel plans using electronic maps
presented on the computer's display and the user selects a travel origin, travel destination, and
desired waypoints. The software also calculates, delineates and displays a travel route between
the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 3339). TRIPS input terminology or technology is not restricted to illustration in (Fig. 1C) but also

Art Unit: 3626

includes input means such as voice recognition, natural language, text queries, keystroke or mouse input, "virtual reality" input/output devices, map/calendar/subject-matter/transactional graphic user interface, relational data queries and/or other state-of-the-art input means known or readily implemented in the digital computer software field (see: column 23, line 64 to column 24, line 13).

DeLorme et al. fails to explicitly teach selecting a hyperlink images including a cursor and actuator that is actuated to select a beginning and end point for travel.

Smith et al. teaches a method for preserving referential integrity within a web site where hyperlinks are associated with picture icons and text block pairs and once activated by click the icon detailed information regarding the particular icons is displayed to the user (see: column 10, line 25 to column 11, line 13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the hyperlinks associated with picture icons and text block pairs as taught by Smith et al. within the Travel Reservation Information and Planning System taught by DeLorme with motivation of addressing the problem of broken hyperlinks to resources that have been moved (see: Smith et al.: column 7, lines 61-64).

As per claim 4, DeLorme et al. fails to explicitly teach displaying a calendar near the starting and ending area and allowing at least one selection of a date from the calendar.

However, DeLorme et al. teaches that the TRIPS invention works with other GUI's in addition to or as an alternative to the dynamic map display at (152, Fig. 1C). The temporal or WHEN? Main input menu (161, Fig. 1C) can be implemented or complemented by a dynamic calendar, clock and/or timetable GUI (see: column 25, lines 36-41 and column 8, lines 14-17).

Art Unit: 3626

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include displaying a calendar near the staring and ending area and allowing at least one selection of a date from the calendar within the Travel Reservation Information Planning

System as taught by DeLorme et al. with the motivation of providing a fast and readily available way to verify dates to making travel plans.

As per claim 8, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed displaying, on said client, information about a selected trip from said starting area to said ending area, including information about an amount of deviation compared with an optimum route from said starting area to said ending area. This limitation is met by the TRIPS software sub-menu WHERE? For example a user proposes an initial departure point and final destination point and one or more optimal routes are computed according to the user selected parameters e.g., Quickest, Shortest, Scenic, and so forth (see: column 29, lines 9-31).

As per claim 9, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed amount of deviation includes information about travel times of different routes. This limitation is met by the TRIPS software sub-menu WHERE? For example a user proposes an initial departure point and final destination point and one or more optimal routes are computed according to the user selected parameters e.g., Quickest, Shortest, Scenic, and so forth (see: column 29, lines 9-31). The Examiner considers the Quickest or Shortest route to include different times.

As per claim 12, DeLorme et al. teaches a Travel Reservation Information Planning

System or TRIPS where users (100, Fig. 1A) using a desktop computer (105, Fig. 1A) with at
least one computer communication connection or modem link (107, Fig. 1A) and one or more
private or public computer network such as the Internet including interactive communication

Application/Control Number: 09/514,053 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

with one or more third-party providers or diverse travel information, reservation, accommodation, transportation, ticketing and/or other travel-related goods/service (see: column 13, lines 48-58). The TRIPS software allows user to construct travel plans using electronic maps presented on the computer's display and the user selects a travel origin, travel destination, and desired waypoints. The software also calculates, delineates and displays a travel route between the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 33-39). TRIPS input terminology or technology is not restricted to illustration in (Fig. 1C) but also includes input means such as voice recognition, natural language, text queries, keystroke or mouse input, "virtual reality" input/output devices, map/calendar/subject-matter/transactional graphic user interface, relational data queries and/or other state-of-the-art input means known or readily implemented in the digital computer software field (see: column 23, line 64 to column 24, line 13). In addition, DeLorme et al. teaches that the user can optionally input preferred modes of transportation, specify a particular airline or airport (see: column 19, lines 4-8).

DeLorme et al. fails to explicitly teach displaying a hyperlinked image including a movable element which is movable over said hyperlinked image, and said movable element is actuated to select an area of said hyperlinked image.

Smith et al. teaches a method for preserving referential integrity within a web site where hyperlinks are associated with picture icons and text block pairs and once activated by click the icon detailed information regarding the particular icons is displayed to the user (see: column 10, line 25 to column 11, line 13).

The obviousness of combining the teachings of Smith et al. within the system of DeLorme et al. is discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 14, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed processor is operative to determine a matrix of flights between all airports within an area for said begin point and all airports within an area for said end point. This limitation is met by the TRIPS software sub-menu WHERE? For example a user proposes an initial departure point and final destination point and one or more optimal routes are computed according to the user selected parameters e.g., Quickest, Shortest, Scenic, and so forth (see: column 29, lines 9-31). The Examiner considers the Quickest, Shortest and Scenic routes to be the matrix of flights between the beginning point and ending point.

As per claim 15, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed processor is operative to determine an optimal flying route between said begin point and said end point, and display an actual selected flying route relative to said optimal flying route. This feature is met by the TRIPS software sub-menu WHERE? For example a user proposes an initial departure point and final destination point and one or more optimal routes are computed according to the user selected parameters e.g., Quickest, Shortest, Scenic, and so forth (see: column 29, lines 9-31). In addition, DeLorme further teaches that the software calculates, delineates and displays a travel route between the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 33-39). The Examiner considers the Quickest or Shortest route to include the optimal flying route between the beginning point and ending point.

As per claim 16, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed processor is further operative to determine a deviation between the optimal flying route and said selected flying route. This limitation is met by the TRIPS software sub-menu WHERE? For example a user proposes an initial departure point and final destination point and one or more optimal routes are computed

Art Unit: 3626

according to the user selected parameters e.g., Quickest, Shortest, Scenic, and so forth (see: column 29, lines 9-31).

As per claim 18, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed allowing a user to make a binding offer, including payment information, for any of plural airline routes between any of said begin points, and any of said end points. This limitation is met by the TRIPS output that includes the online transmission of the user's reservation requests, ticket purchase, changes, credit/payment arrangement, and so forth, directly to the third-party providers participating in TRIPS (see: column 12, lines 5-10).

4. Claims 2, 3, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040 to DeLorme et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,578,078 to Smith et al. in view of Official Notice.

As per claim 2, DeLorme et al. teaches a Travel Reservation Information Planning

System or TRIPS where users (100, Fig. 1A) using a desktop computer (105, Fig. 1A) with at
least one computer communication connection or modem link (107, Fig. 1A) and one or more
private or public computer network such as the Internet including interactive communication
with one or more third-party providers or diverse travel information, reservation,
accommodation, transportation, ticketing and/or other travel-related goods/service (see: column
13, lines 48-58). The TRIPS software allows user to construct travel plans using electronic maps
presented on the computer's display and the user selects a travel origin, travel destination, and
desired waypoints. The software also calculates, delineates and displays a travel route between
the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 3339). TRIPS input terminology or technology is not restricted to illustration in (Fig. 1C) but also

includes input means such as voice recognition, natural language, text queries, keystroke or mouse input, "virtual reality" input/output devices, map/calendar/subject-matter/transactional graphic user interface, relational data queries and/or other state-of-the-art input means known or readily implemented in the digital computer software field (see: column 23, line 64 to column 24, line 13).

DeLorme et al. fails to teach:

--the claimed cursor moving element to place a cursor of the graphical user interface over said starting area and actuating said actuator to select said starting area, and allowing said ending area for said travel to be selected by using said cursor moving element to place the cursor of the graphical user interface over said ending area, and actuating the actuator to indicate said end area; and

--the claimed wherein said server interfacing program further allows at least one of said starting area or said ending area to be changed in size to form a changed in size area, by using said cursor moving element to change a size of said at least one, and wherein said first travel information includes information about said changed in size area, and said travel information received from said server includes options for different locations within said changed in size area.

It is well known in the computer field to that graphical user interface such a cursor, which is special on-screen indicator used with applications and operating systems such as a mouse or other on-screen icons that move with movements of the mouse and actuators, which are disk drive mechanism for moving the read/write heads to location of the desired track on a disk are old and well established. Since DeLorme et al. teaches the use of electronic maps delineated to

Art Unit: 3626

Page 9

display a travel route between the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 33-39). DeLorme et al. further teaches a button used to pan/zoom in on the selected travel route by the user (see: Fig. 5D). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a cursor to select a starting and ending point of the selected travel route within the Travel Reservation Information Planning System as taught by DeLorme et al. with motivation of decreasing the amount of keystroke entries by the user, thereby providing a more efficient and effective way of selecting a desired travel route.

As per claim 3, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed server computer produces an image of a line extending between said starting point and said ending point, overlaid on said map. This limitation is met by the TRIPS software that allows a user to construct travel plans using an electronic map presented on the computer's display and selects a travel origin, travel destination, and desired waypoints. DeLorme et al. further teaches that TRIPS software calculates, delineates and displays a travel route between the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 33-39).

As per claim 5, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed line includes an indication of a stopping point between said beginning point and said ending. This feature is met by the TRIPS software that allows a user to construct travel plans using an electronic map presented on the computer's display and selects a travel origin, travel destination, and desired waypoints.

DeLorme et al. further teaches that TRIPS software calculates, delineates and displays a travel route between the travel origin and the travel destination via the selected waypoints (see: column 8, lines 33-39).

As per claim 10, DeLorme et al. teaches the claimed starting area and ending area include information about airports within said areas, and said changing size is operative to add or subtract airports within said areas. The limitation is met by the button used to pan/zoom in on the selected travel route by the user (see: Fig. 5D). DeLorme et al. further teaches that the user can optionally input preferred modes of transportation, specify a particular airline or airport (see: column 19, lines 4-8).

5. Claims 6, 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040 to DeLorme et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,578,078 to Smith et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,606,101 to Malamud et al.

As per claim 6, DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. fail to teach the claimed client computer also displays a screen tip based on a proximity of the cursor to a portion of the hyperlinked image.

Malamud et al. teaches a system that uses information pointers where a user using an input device selects an object designated by the positioning of the cursor over at least a portion of one of the objects that is being displayed. Malamud et al. further teaches that the output device

Art Unit: 3626

formed by the video display, textual and/or graphical information about the selected object is displayed in an information window adjacent to the cursor (see: column 1, lines 49-65).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to include information pointer system using textual information as taught by Malamud et al. with the system as taught by DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. with the motivation of providing the user with information about what they are currently doing or what the user is about to do (see: Malamud et al.: column 1, lines 44-46).

As per claim 7, DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. teach TRIPS where users (100, Fig. 1A) using a desktop computer (105, Fig. 1A) with at least one computer communication connection or modem link (107, Fig. 1A) and one or more private or public computer network such as the Internet including interactive communication with one or more third-party providers or diverse travel information, reservation, accommodation, transportation, ticketing and/or other travel-related goods/service (see: DeLorme et al.: column 13, lines 48-58).

DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. fail to teach the claimed screen tip displayed on the image about area of said cursor.

Malamud et al. teaches a system that uses information pointers where a user using an input device selects an object designated by the positioning of the cursor over at least a portion of one of the objects that is being displayed. Malamud et al. further teaches that the output device formed by the video display, textual and/or graphical information about the selected object is displayed in an information window adjacent to the cursor (see: column 1, lines 49-65).

The obviousness of combining the teachings of Malamud et al. with the system of DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. are discussed in the rejection of claim 6, and incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 13, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 7.

6. Claims 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040 to DeLorme et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,578,078 to Smith et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,085,976 to Sehr.

As per claim 11, DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. teach a Travel Reservation Information Planning System or TRIPS where users (100, Fig. 1A) using a desktop computer (105, Fig. 1A) with at least one computer communication connection or modem link (107, Fig. 1A) and one or more private or public computer network such as the Internet including interactive communication with one or more third-party providers or diverse travel information, reservation, accommodation, transportation, ticketing and/or other travel-related goods/service (see: DeLorme et al.: column 13, lines 48-58).

DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. fails to teach a biometric information entry device at the client computer, which allows entering biometric information that is used to access a stored travel itinerary from the client computer.

Sehr teaches a travel system and methods of utilizing multi-application passenger card that allow a passenger to interact with or couple to the system while planning evaluating a particular trip including making the appropriate reservation related to a ticket and travel information (see: column 4, lines 27-32). Sehr further teaches a biometric box (13, Fig. 1) including a means for capturing and digitizing the biometric characteristics information (see: column 6, lines 52-55).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to include the travel system using a biometric box as taught by Sehr with the system of

Art Unit: 3626

DeLorme et al. and Smith et al. with the motivation of reducing administrative costs, improving productivity and to provide a better quality of service (see: Sehr: column 2, lines 7-13).

Page 13

As per claim 17, it is rejected for same reasons set forth in claim 11.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

In related art (6,148,260) Musk et al. teaches an interactive network directory service that integrates both a business directory and a maps database.

In related art (6,526,351) Whitman discloses an interactive multimedia tour guide that provides a user with directions and useful information about a selected tour.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 605-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Art Unit: 3626

Page 14

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

hum rwm

Alexander de souver Auxonogen blacmonse 1 Primary Examiner Au 3626